TORUN

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY** 



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR . ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/804,272 03/18/2004 Boon Keat Tan 70040133-1 7457 7590 02/03/2006 **EXAMINER** AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. YAM, STEPHEN K Legal Department, DL 429 **Intellectual Property Administration ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 7599 2878 Loveland, CO 80537-0599 DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Off: 4 # 0	1,0/804,272	TAN ET AL.	(pu)
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Stephen Yam	2878	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	iress
WHIII - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE MAILING OF THE	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB/	CATION.  sply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this col  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	lovember 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowa			merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	<b>1.</b>		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
_	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			, ,
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.
<b>Priority</b>	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		<del>-</del>	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National S	Stage
* 1	application from the International Burea			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the centilled copies not r	eceived.	
Attachmen	• •			
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview St.	ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-	·152)
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:		•

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to Amendments and remarks filed on November 22, 2005. Claims 1-12 are currently pending.

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 9, and 10 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Claim 2, "said trim wavelength" lacks proper antecedent basis- for examination purposes, Examiner interprets the claim as intending to recite "said first trim wavelength".

In Claim 9, line 8, "said light source" lacks proper antecedent basis- for examination purposes, Examiner interprets the claim as intending to recite "a layer of material overlying a corresponding one of said photodetectors" as indicated in the amendment for Claim 1.

In Claim 10, line 2, "said first wavelength" should be replaced with "said first trim wavelength".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sakamoto et al. US Patent No. 5,648,653.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,272

Art Unit: 2878

Page 3

Regarding Claim 1, Sakamoto et al. teach (see Fig. 2) a color sensor, comprising a plurality of photodetectors (6a-6c), a plurality of primary color filters (5c-5e), each primary color filter comprising a layer of material overlying a corresponding one of said photodetectors (see Fig. 2), each primary color filter transmitting light in a corresponding band of wavelengths (see Col. 4, lines 30-32) about a characteristic wavelength (red, green, blue), that primary filter transmitting more light at said characteristic wavelength than that primary color filter transmits at a wavelength outside of said band of wavelengths (see Col. 4, lines 30-32), and a first trim filter (4) overlying all of said photodetectors (see Fig. 2), said first trim filter comprising a layer of material that attenuates light at a first trim wavelength (<400nm or >650nm- see Fig. 6-10, 12 and Col. 4, lines 32-35) more than said first trim filter attenuates light at each of two of said characteristic wavelengths (see Fig. 6-10, 12).

Regarding Claim 2, Sakamoto et al. teach said first trim filter further attenuates light at a second trim wavelength (>650nm- see Fig. 6-10, 12), said first trim wavelength (<400nm) being less than one of said characteristic wavelengths (green light which is approximately 500-550nm wavelength) and said second trim wavelength (>650nm) being greater than that characteristic wavelength.

Regarding Claim 3, Sakamoto et al. teach said first trim filter comprising an interference filter (see Col. 4, lines 32-35, 57-62 and Col. 5, lines 38-48).

Regarding Claim 6, Sakamoto et al. teach said color filters located between said first trim filter and said photodetectors (see Fig. 2).

Art Unit: 2878

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto et al.

Regarding Claims 4, 5, and 9, Sakamoto et al. teach the sensor in Claim 1, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Regarding Claim 4, Sakamoto et al. teach (see Fig. 2) a substrate (2) having said photodetectors located therein and the first trim filter comprising a first trim filter layer (4). Regarding Claim 9, Sakamoto et al. teach (see Fig. 2 and 3) a method for fabricating a color sensor, said method comprising providing a substrate (2) having a plurality of photodetectors (6a-6c), bonding a color filter layer (3b) to said substrate, said color filter layer comprising a plurality of primary color filters (5c-5e), bonding a first trim filter layer (4) to said color filter layer such that said first trim filter layer covers all of said photodetectors (see Fig. 2), each primary color filter comprising a layer of material overlying a corresponding one of said photodetectors (see Fig. 2), each primary color filter transmitting light in a corresponding band of wavelengths (see Col. 4, lines 30-32) about a characteristic wavelength (red, green, blue), that primary filter transmitting more light at said characteristic wavelength than that primary color filter transmits at a wavelength outside of said band of wavelengths (see Col. 4, lines 30-32), wherein said first trim filter comprises a layer of material that attenuates light at a first trim wavelength (see Col. 4, lines 32-35) more than said first trim filter attenuates light at each of two of said characteristic wavelengths (see Fig. 6-10). Sakamoto et al. do not teach the first trim

Art Unit: 2878

filter layer on said substrate and said color filters on said first trim filter layer (i.e.- the components layered in substrate -> first trim filter layer -> color filter layer order). It is well known in the art to exchange the orders of filter layers according to a desired physical configuration, as exchanging the positions of successive wavelength filters within an optical system provide an equivalent end result for incident light upon a photodetector. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the first trim filter layer on said substrate and said color filters on said first trim filter layer, in the sensor of Sakamoto et al., since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. In re Japikse, 86 USPQ 70.

Regarding Claim 10, Sakamoto et al. teach said first trim filter further attenuates light at a second trim wavelength (>650nm- see Fig. 6-10, 12), said first trim wavelength (<400nm) being less than one of said characteristic wavelengths (green light which is approximately 525nm wavelength) and said second trim wavelength (>650nm) being greater than that characteristic wavelength, said first trim filter layer attenuating light at said second trim wavelength more than said first trim filter layer attenuates light at each of that characteristic wavelength (since red, green, and blue light have 450nm, 525nm, and 600nm wavelengths, respectively).

Regarding Claim 11, Sakamoto et al. teach said first trim filter layer comprising a plurality of transparent layers in which adjacent layers have different indices of refraction (see Col. 4, lines 57-67 and Col. 5, lines 38-48).

6. Claims 7, 8, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakamoto et al. in view of Sulzbach et al. US Patent No. 3,996,461.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,272

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding Claims 7, 8 and 12, Sakamoto et al. teach the sensor and method of Claims 1 and 9, according to the appropriate paragraph above. Sakamoto et al. do not teach a second trim filter, said second trim filter comprising a layer of material that preferentially attenuates light at a second wavelength that is different from each of said characteristic wavelengths and said first trim wavelength, with the color filters located between the first and the second trim filter. Sulzbach et al. teach (see Fig. 1-2) a similar sensor having a first trim filter (L, H) with a first trim wavelength and a second trim filter (L", H"), said second trim filter comprising a layer of material that preferentially attenuates light at a second wavelength that is different from characteristic wavelengths and said first trim wavelength (see Col. 2, lines 23-52), with a color filter (L', H') located between the first and second trim filters (see Fig. 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a second trim filter comprising a layer of material that preferentially attenuates light at a second wavelength that is different from characteristic wavelengths and said first trim wavelength, such that the color filter is located between the first and second trim filters, as taught by Sulzbach et al., in the sensor of Sakamoto et al., to more precisely define the desired spectral detection response and the transmittance and attenuation wavelengths for greater color accuracy and matching.

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Yam whose telephone number is (571)272-2449. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on (571)272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,272

Art Unit: 2878

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

57

SY

THANH X.LUU Patent examiner

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/804,272 Examiner Stephen Yam Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination TAN ET AL. Page 1 of 1

### **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-5,648,653 A	07-1997	Sakamoto et al.	250/208.1
	В	US-			
	С	US-			
	D	US-			
	Е	US-			
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	ı	US-	_		
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	M	US-			

### **FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	P					
	ď					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

### **NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS**

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)		
	U			
	V			
	w			
	х			

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.